

DR JOHN MORROW


Introduction to Microeconomics (4QQMN136 2020/1 SEM1 000001) (4QQMN136-2020/1-SEM1-000001)
 No. of responses = 169



Survey Results


Legend

Question text




1. JOHN MORROW - Lecturer Questions


1.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject




1.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting



1.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes




1.4) The pace of the lectures/seminars was




2. Introduction to Microeconomics (CORE) - Module Questions


2.1) This module was intellectually stimulating




2.2) This module has provided me with opportunities to explore ideas or concepts in depth



2.3) The criteria used in marking for this module have been made clear in advance




2.4) Feedback on my work for this module so far has been received within the published timeframe






3. Sotiris Vadoros - Lecturer Questions




4. Elodie Andrieu - Lecturer Questions


4.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject




4.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting



4.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes




4.4) The pace of the lectures/seminars was




5. Richmond Egeyi - Lecturer Questions


5.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject




5.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting



5.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes




5.4) The pace of the lectures/seminars was




6. Xianpu Gong - Lecturer Questions


6.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject




6.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting



6.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes




6.4) The pace of the lectures/seminars was




7. Omid Mazdak - Lecturer Questions


7.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject




7.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting



7.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes



7.4) The pace of the lectures/seminars was



Profile

Subunit: King's Business School
 Responsible for modules: DR JOHN MORROW
 Name of the course: Introduction to Microeconomics (4QQMN136 2020/1 SEM1 000001)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. JOHN MORROW - Lecturer Questions

Question	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n	av.	md	dev.
1.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject		Strongly Disagree	n=156	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
1.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting		Strongly Disagree	n=154	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
1.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes		Strongly Disagree	n=151	av.=4.7	md=5.0	dev.=0.5

2. Introduction to Microeconomics (CORE) - Module Questions

Question	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n	av.	md	dev.
2.1) This module was intellectually stimulating		Strongly Disagree	n=165	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
2.2) This module has provided me with opportunities to explore ideas or concepts in depth		Strongly Disagree	n=164	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
2.3) The criteria used in marking for this module have been made clear in advance		Strongly Disagree	n=162	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
2.4) Feedback on my work for this module so far has been received within the published timeframe		Strongly Disagree	n=147	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
2.5) I have received helpful and informative feedback on my work within this module so far		Strongly Disagree	n=147	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
2.6) This module has been well organised		Strongly Disagree	n=166	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.6
2.7) Learning materials (e.g. handbooks, study guides, teaching materials and online content) for this module have effectively supported my		Strongly Disagree	n=163	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
2.8) I have received good study advice and support when I have needed it		Strongly Disagree	n=147	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
2.9) I have felt included in this module through having been encouraged to ask questions and participate in discussions		Strongly Disagree	n=164	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
2.10) Staff value students' views and opinions about this module		Strongly Disagree	n=161	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
2.11) This module has helped me develop knowledge and skills which will be of use to me in the future		Strongly Disagree	n=165	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
2.12) Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of this module		Strongly Disagree	n=164	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7

3. Sotiris Vandoros - Lecturer Questions

Question	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	n	av.	md	dev.
3.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject		Strongly Disagree	n=129	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
3.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting		Strongly Disagree	n=128	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
3.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes		Strongly Disagree	n=127	av.=4.6	md=5.0	dev.=0.6

4. Elodie Andrieu - Lecturer Questions

4.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=54	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.7
4.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=54	av.=4.4	md=4.5	dev.=0.7
4.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=53	av.=4.4	md=5.0	dev.=0.7

5. Richmond Egyei - Lecturer Questions

5.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=73	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
5.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=73	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
5.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=73	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.8

6. Xianpu Gong - Lecturer Questions

6.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=68	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
6.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=67	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
6.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=68	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.9

7. Omid Mazdak - Lecturer Questions

7.1) The lecturer has been good at explaining the subject	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=65	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=1.0
7.2) The lecturer has made the subject interesting	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=65	av.=3.9	md=4.0	dev.=1.1
7.3) The lecturer has been well prepared for their classes	Strongly Agree		Strongly Disagree	n=64	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.9

Comments Report

2. Introduction to Microeconomics (CORE) - Module Questions

- 2.13) What has been the most positive aspect of this module for you, and if you could recommend one improvement to the Module Organiser what would it be?
- Clear questions in the tests that have allowed me to consolidate my knowledge.
 - Enjoyed the structuring of content, weekly videos were well structured and concise.
 - Great weekly lectures! Very well explained!
 - I enjoyed the lectures and feel like the lecturers made the subject quite simple, which is a big positive note. I think the explanation was at times even too simple, resulting in a quite slowly-paced teaching.
 - I enjoyed the whole module as I never was able to do economics at school. My one recommendation is that when discussing Financial Times articles in breakout rooms, some people just never speak and you end up having to do it by yourself.
 - I found the lectures quite engaging and enjoyed the module a lot. One thing I would improve would be the style of the tutorials.
 - I like the concept of talking through a news article each week, however it would be useful to apply it more to the module theory in tutorial sessions.
 - I liked how the lecturer included real life examples to explain content better. The recorded lectures went over content a bit too quickly. Some points I felt could have been explained more in depth rather than skimmed over.
 - I liked the concept that you do the weekly quizzes and then go to class and go through the answers one by one with a lecturer. The only thing I would want to improve, which may be hard, is that there was not many students participating in the discussions which I thought was bad.
 - I really enjoyed how pleasant and friendly my lecturers were. They included interesting examples and both John and Sotiris were extremely personable.
 - I really enjoyed multiple aspects of this module. I found the tutorial discussion interesting as there was a great participation and everyone could bring his own perspective on the studied case. In fact, and this corresponds to the second aspects, this module initiated me at reading newspapers and trained me at finding directly the most important information, and it was possible to put this onto practice thanks to the multiple case studies on the Financial Times or The Economist.
 - I would recommend adding more practical exercises so we can really be prepared before the quiz at the end of the week.
 - In every tutorial, the tutorial leader will go through the quiz last week, which is very useful as we know how to solve the questions correctly.
 - In my opinion, a positive aspect would be the way it is taught, with multiple examples and explained in various ways. An improvement could be, maybe including a quiz after each video in order for us to practice before doing the quiz and to check if we actually understood the content in that lecture.
 - In my opinion, both the lecturers Mr. Morrow and Mr. Vandoros have contributed towards making this module exciting. The fact that the lecture videos are divided so that they are about twenty minutes each has been very beneficial to me and has kept my attention throughout.
 - In my opinion, when we finish handing our homework on keats, we can not only show our answer is right and wrong, but also give us some corresponding explanations, which can not only help us to study independently but also improve the tutorial efficiency
 - Interesting module that covers a range of different topics weekly. It would be nice to have access to a bank of questions/quizzes with solutions so that we can apply the knowledge and be more prepared for exams.
 - It has been clear in setting out what each lecture's topics are on and provided additional resources where necessary. One improvement would be to explain the concepts without assuming everyone already knows or has done Economics previously.
 - It would be positive to our learning if we would have more exercises as for example we have in the module of financial reporting since in this module we only had around 6 or 8 questions per week and that it's all. We do have a book but we do not have answers to those exercises from the book and the module leader do not have the answers either. For me, I would have appreciated if we could have more exercises or questions since this is a module that requires a lot of practice. In the tutorials, it would be nice too to have more practice rather than just give brief explanations of the exercises (6 or 7 questions) because most of the time at least in my tutorial this was explained in 25-30 minutes or even less. Overall, I would prefer to have more practice or at least had the option to find more questions to practice by my own.
 - John Morrow - doesn't respond to emails, prefers for us to ask our tutorial leaders all the questions
Tutorial leaders - sometimes don't explain enough, explanations unclear
Overall - not happy with the module and didn't get the help I needed from tutorial leaders or lecturers, I had to work everything out on my own
 - Most positive aspect is the interaction with other students and tutorial leaders when discussing and answering tutorial questions. One recommendation would be to reduce the amount of reading done as it closely overlaps the lecture content.

- Positive aspect: diversity of examples
Negative aspect: some notions in the first four weeks were not well explained
- Positive: End of week quizzes tested knowledge covered well during class
Improvement: Include more case studies
- The content was easy to understand and weekly financial times articles were good at stimulating thinking about the content of the module
- The financial times articles enables me to be engaged with the current affairs in the world and allows me to reflect on my knowledge. For tutorial it would be useful to have some questions that might reflect the exam style structure
- The lecturers
- The lecturers are interested in our opinion and they genuinely make an effort to make the videos and the module more interesting.
- The lecturers transmitted excitement and passion, which really helped make the subject more interesting. However, the structure of the online videos could be a bit more organised.
- The lectures have been clear and enjoyable to watch.
- The most positive aspect was to learn about the subject because I find Microeconomics a really interesting subject!
- The most positive aspect of this module has been the way in which the lectures are done, lecturer John Morrow was very clear and concise and the lectures were easy, interesting and fun to watch. Something I would improve would be to give even more examples of what is happening nowadays to illustrate the thoughts given in the lecture. But overall it was a very good module.
- The most positive aspect of this module was the teaching pace and the applicability to real life with the use of the FT articles. One recommendation that could improve the module would be increasing the number of assignments given
- The only reason this module wasn't as mentally challenging is because I had done A-level Economics prior but I do think that the way and order in which these concepts were introduced was very coherent and the on-campus tutorials were great as naturally they are more engaging.
- There were questions after every subject which helped in the understanding.
- This module has been very informative and the use of multiple methods (graphs, tables) to explain one theory really helped me. I personally cannot think of one improvement that could be made. This module was definitely very engaging and well-explained.
- This module was very helpful, in a way that I understand better the mechanisms of economics which will be helpful in the future.
- Tutorials with Professor Mazak Omid, and both lecturers were amazing and detailed in their explanation. Especially Sir John's Morrow sense of humour.
- Very entertaining classes
- Video introductions by module leaders were motivating, would love to see more interactive features in the module.
- Video lectures are clear in explaining important concepts. However, the tutorial is poorly organized. The tutorial leader comes late to class and often leaves early.
I feel we can go without the FT articles in the tutorials as they aren't adding any value, instead, more practice questions or quizzes can be included.
- delivery was good, n/a
- in my opinion, it will be better for showing the score for each end-week quiz after finishing them. I can't see the score any time after taking them.
- it's good
- positive: Dr John Morrow's lectures and activity interactions; tutorial discussion articles
negative: though it is an introduction, the module did not address the topics in depth enough
- the lectures were really well done but they could have names for each week to better understand the subject of the week.
- use zoom instead of team